

RESEARCH ARTICLE

- Firdes Topal¹**
Unal Tasdemir¹
Zeynep Zehra Gumus²
Suleyman Gunay¹
Sabiye Akbulut³
Elif Saritas Yuksel¹
Sezgin Vatansever¹
Fatih Esat Topal⁴

¹Katip Çelebi University, Atatürk Education and Research Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, İzmir, Turkey

²Katip Çelebi University, Atatürk Education and Research Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, İzmir, Turkey

³University of Health Sciences, Kartal Koşuyolu High Specialty Education and Research Hospital, Clinics of Gastroenterology, İstanbul, Turkey

⁴Katip Çelebi University, Atatürk Education and Research Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, İzmir, Turkey

Corresponding Author:

Zeynep Zehra Gümüş
 Katip Çelebi University, Atatürk
 Education and Research Hospital,
 Department of Internal Medicine, İzmir
 Turkey
 mail: zeynepzehr@gmail.com
 Phone: +90 2322434343

Received: 12.10.2019

Acceptance: 26-04-2020

DOI:10.18521/kt.632438

Konuralp Medical Journal

e-ISSN1309-3878

konuralptipdergi@duzce.edu.tr

konuralptipdergisi@gmail.com

www.konuralptipdergi.duzce.edu.tr

Efficacy of P2/MS and AFP in Early Prediction of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Recurrence

ABSTRACT

Objective: P2/MS is a simple, inexpensive and non-invasive test for the evaluation of hepatic fibrosis in cirrhosis. Elevated alpha-fetoprotein levels in hepatocellular carcinoma is related with recurrence. We aimed to examine the efficacy of P2/MS and alpha-fetoprotein in early prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence and to determine a cut-off value for P2/MS and alpha-fetoprotein.

Methods: Sixty nine patients with hepatocellular carcinoma were retrospectively scanned for recurrence existence. The recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma was diagnosed radiologically, via 3-phase tomography and magnetic resonance investigation. The formula of P2/MS was platelet count ($10^9/L$)²/[monocyte count (%)x neutrophil count(%)].

Results: Forty two patients had hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. For the recurrence prediction, the cut-off value of P2/MS was ≤ 59.9 with 100% specificity and 95.24% sensitivity. The cut-off value of alpha-fetoprotein was found >57.5 with 83.3% sensitivity and 92.6% specificity.

Conclusions: With these findings, P2/MS and alpha-fetoprotein seems as reliable markers for the prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. We suggest that they can be used as non-invasive tools for the early estimation of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence.

Keywords: P2/MS, AFP, Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Recurrence.

P2/MS ve AFP'nin Hepatoselüler Kanser Nüksünü Öngördürmedeki Yeri

ÖZET

Amaç: P2/MS sirozdaki karaciğer fibrozunu değerlendirmek kullanılan basit, pahalı olmayan, non-invaziv bir testtir. Hepatoselüler karsinomda artmış alfa-fetoprotein düzeyleri ise rekürrens ile ilişkilidir. Bu çalışmada hepatoselüler karsinom nüksünün erken öngörümünde P2/MS ve alfa-fetoprotein etkinliğini değerlendirmeyi ve bir cut-off değeri araştırmayı amaçladık.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Hepatoselüler karsinoması olan 69 hasta retrospektif olarak rekürrens varlığı açısından tarandı. Hastalardaki rekürrens varlığı radyolojik olarak trifazik bilgisayarlı tomografi ve magnetik rezonans inceleme ile değerlendirildi. P2/MS formülü olarak trombosit sayısı ($10^9/L$)²/[monosit yüzdesi (%) x nötrofil yüzdesi (%)].

Bulgular: Toplam 42 hastada hepatoselüler karsinom rekürrensi belirlendi. Rekürrens öngörümü açısından P2/MS cut-off değeri ≤ 59.9 alındığında %100 spesifite ve %95.24 sensitiviteye sahip olduğu bulundu. Alfa-fetoprotein için cut-off değeri >57.5 alındığıdaysa sensitivitesi %83.3 ve spesifitesi %92.6 saptandı.

Sonuç: Bu bulgular ışığında P2/MS ve alfa-fetoprotein hepatoselüler karsinom rekürrensini öngördürmede güvenilir belirteçler gibi değerlendirilebilmektedir. Bu iki non-invaziv yöntemin hepatoselüler rekürrensini erken tahmininde kullanılması gerektiğini önermekteyiz.

Anahtar Kelimeler: P2/MS, AFP, Hepatoselüler Karsinoma, Rekürrens.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary liver malignancy (1). HCC is the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths in the world (2). It is mostly seen in men (male/female ratio 2.4:1) and generally occurs in the elderly ages (1). But in high incidence areas, male/female ratio can increase up to 5.7:1 and the disease can affect people in their thirties (3, 4). HCC still has a poor prognosis. Mean survival is usually between 6 and 20 months (5). HCC incidence has been increased in the last 20 years (6). The most important risk factors for HCC are HBV, HCV and cirrhosis, regardless of etiology (1).

Cirrhosis is the most common and the highest risk for HCC and 80-90% of HCC develops on cirrhosis (7). Also, HCC may occur without cirrhosis in the patients with perinatal or early childhood HBV infections (8). Cirrhosis develops on increased hepatic fibrosis and is the leading etiologic factor of HCC (8). It is possible that hepatic fibrosis is an early carcinogenesis step for HCC (9). Also severe hepatic fibrosis is related with short survival rates (10). Therefore, evaluation of hepatic fibrosis in HCC is quite important.

Although liver biopsy is gold standard for hepatic fibrosis, there are multiple disadvantages such as high complication risk due to invasive intervention, limited chance of repeatability, the risk of gaining insufficient tissue and observer-dependent evaluation (11). Radiologic and biochemical non-invasive methods have been investigated for hepatic fibrosis detection and determining HCC prognosis (12-17). But these methods generally have low sensitivity and specificity ratios and some of them are expensive. Therefore liver biopsy is still gold-standard despite of its disadvantages (18). Recently, P2/MS was developed which predicts hepatic fibrosis in a simple and accurate manner (19). It is an inexpensive and simple method based on complete blood count (19). There are studies showing that P2/MS is useful in predicting esophageal variceal bleeding and hepatic decompensation, as well as hepatic fibrosis (20, 21).

It is well known that, in the HCC patients undergoing liver transplantation, AFP is a biomarker of HCC recurrence and elevated AFP is related with poor prognosis (22). But, a certain AFP level to estimate HCC recurrence has not been stated. So, we aimed to examine the efficacy of P2/MS and AFP and to determine cut-off values of

P2/MS and AFP in the early prediction of HCC recurrence.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients: The medical records of the adult patients, who diagnosed with HCC between 2013 and 2018 in a tertiary hospital in the western Turkey, were retrospectively scanned. The inclusion criteria were having complete blood count (CBC), magnetic resonance investigation (MRI) and computer tomography (CT) of the liver, being treated at least one of those treatment options: surgical resection, local treatment or liver transplantation. HCC recurrence was diagnosed by recognizing specific vascular pattern with 3-phase CT and MRI. P2/MS scores of the patients were calculated with the values of CBC before the recurrence diagnosis. The formula of P2/MS was as written: $P2/MS = \text{platelet count } (10^9/L)^2 / \text{monocyte count } (\%) \times \text{segmented neutrophil count } (\%)$ (Lee et al., 2009). AFP levels and demographic features of the patients were also recorded.

Statistical Analysis: IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, ABD) was used for the analyses. The classification performances of AFP and P2/MS for HCC recurrence was evaluated with Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis by Medcalc program. Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUROC), cut-off values, sensitivity and specificity measurements were also calculated. $p < 0,05$ was used as the cut-off for significance.

RESULTS

Totally, 69 patients were included in the study. Among 69 patients, 80% of the patients had HBV associated HCC and 20% of them had HCV associated HCC. The mean age was found 52.2 ± 9.1 and 74.2% of the patients were male. HCC recurrence was detected in 42 patients. For HCC recurrence prediction, AUROC value of P2/MS was found 0.987 (95% Confidence Interval (CI); 0.924-1.000; $p < 0.0001$), and AUROC value of AFP was 0.913 (95% CI; 0.820-0.967; $p < 0.0001$).

Cut-off value for P2/MS score was found ≤ 59.9 . With this score, specificity was 100% (95% CI; 0.872-1.000) and sensitivity was 95.24% (95% CI; 0.838-0.994) for predicting HCC recurrence.

Cut-off value for AFP level was > 57.5 . With this AFP level, specificity was 92.6% (95% CI; 0.75-0.991) and sensitivity was 83.3% (95% CI; 0.686-0.93) for predicting HCC recurrence (Figure 1).




Figure 1. ROC curves of AFP and P2/MS.

DISCUSSION

One of the aims of this study was to examine the efficacy of P2/MS and AFP for the estimation of HCC recurrence. AUROC values showed that P2/MS and AFP are both useful for the prediction of HCC recurrence. It is not a surprise that AUROC value of AFP was found statistically significant for the prediction of HCC recurrence. Because, AFP is a biomarker of HCC recurrence in the patients undergoing liver transplantation. But the study population included the patients treated with local treatment and surgical resection beside liver transplantation. So, the relationship between AFP and HCC recurrence in HCC patients, who did not undergo liver transplantation, should be checked with further studies.

The other aim of the study to determine a cut-off value of P2/MS and AFP for the prediction of HCC recurrence. We found that P2/MS score ≤ 59.9 is helpful to show HCC recurrence with high specificity and sensitivity ratios. We also found that $AFP > 57.5$ is associated with HCC recurrence, but with lower specificity and sensitivity ratios than P2/MS. So, we strongly suggest to calculate P2/MS scores of the HCC follow-up patients and to investigate the patients with a score ≤ 59.9 for HCC recurrence. We also suggest to carefully examine the patients with $AFP > 57.5$.

There was not any similar study in the literature and we couldn't compare the results. Furthermore, there are several limitations of the study. This is a single-center and a retrospective study. The sample size is low. Also, we did not

make any comparison between P2/MS and other non-invasive methods or liver biopsy results. On the other hand, P2/MS could be the simplest and cost-effective way to predict HCC recurrence.

HCC mostly develops on cirrhosis and hepatic fibrosis and hepatic fibrosis seems like a step of carcinogenesis (23). The success of P2/MS in the HCC recurrence prediction may be related with its success to predict hepatic fibrosis. Therefore, other methods that shows hepatic fibrosis might be chosen for the prediction of HCC recurrence. Liver biopsy is gold-standard, but high risk of complication and cost are its disadvantages (11). The radiological tools to measure liver elasticity have also high costs and it's observer-dependent (12). There are multiple blood sample tests based on AST and ALT levels for the prediction of hepatic fibrosis, such as FIB-4, APRI and AAR (14-16). But AST and ALT levels are an unstable in chronic viral hepatitis infections and liver masses like HCC. Unstable liver transaminase levels restrict the use of the methods based on AST and ALT (24). Therefore, P2/MS seems a more appropriate choose for the prediction of HCC recurrence.

CONCLUSION

With these findings, we suggest that P2/MS may be an effective tool to predict HCC recurrence. $AFP > 57.5$ should be alarmed us for HCC recurrence. But further prospective studies with larger sample sizes are needed.

REFERENCES

1. Balogh J, Victor D 3rd, Asham EH, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: a review. *Journal of hepatocellular carcinoma* 2016;3:41–53. doi:10.2147/JHC.S61146
2. Global Burden of Disease Liver Cancer Collaboration, Akinyemiju T, Abera S, Ahmed M, et al. The Burden of Primary Liver Cancer and Underlying Etiologies From 1990 to 2015 at the Global, Regional, and National Level: Results From the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *JAMA Oncology* 2017;3(12):1683–1691. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3055
3. Mughal TI, Patel SB. Hepatocellular carcinoma: A review of 140 cases. *Ann Saudi Med* 1996;16(1):53-5.
4. Prates MD, Torres FO. A cancer survey in Lourenço Marques, Portuguese East Africa. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1965;35(5):729-57.

5. The Cancer of the Liver Italian Program (CLIP) investigators. A new prognostic system for hepatocellular carcinoma a retrospective study of 435 patients. *Hepatology*1998;28(3):751.
6. El-Serag HB., Marrero JA, Rudolph L, Reddy KR. Diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*2008;134:1752-63.
7. Castán A, Navarro Y, Sarría L, Larrosa R, Serradilla M, Serrablo A. Radiological diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic patients. *HepatomaRes*2017;3:1-17. <https://doi.org/10.20517/2394-5079.2015.62>
8. Schwartz JM, Carithers RLJr. Epidemiology and etiologic associations of hepatocellular carcinoma. Available at <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/epidemiology-and-etiological-associations-of-hepatocellular-carcinoma>
9. Matsumura H, Moriyama M, Goto I, Tanaka N, Okubo H, Arakawa Y. Natural course of progression of liver fibrosis in Japanese patients with chronic liver disease type C--a study of 527 patients at one establishment. *JViralHepat*2000;7(4):268-75.
10. Pawlik TM, Poon RT, AbdallaEK, et al. Critical appraisal of the clinical and pathologic predictors of survival after resection of large hepatocellular carcinoma. *ArchSurg*2005;140(5):450-458.
11. Spinzi G, Terruzzi V, Minoli G. Liver biopsy. *N Engl J Med*2001;344:2030.
12. Ziol M, Handra-Luca A, Kettaneh A, et al. Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis by measurement of stiffness in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology*2008;41:48-54.
13. Friedrich-Rust M, Ong MF, Martens S, et al. Performance of transient elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*2008;134:960-974.
14. Sterling RK, Lissen E, Clumeck N, et al. Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. *Hepatology*2006;43:1317-1325.
15. Wai CT, Greenon JK, Fontana RJ, et al. A simple noninvasive index can predict both significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology*2003;38:518-526.
16. Sheth SG, Flamm SL, Gordon FD, Chopra S. AST/ALT ratio predicts cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *AmJGastroenterol*1998;93:44-48.
17. Poynard T, Bedossa P. Age and platelet count: a simple index for predicting the presence of histological lesions patients with antibodies to hepatitis C virus. METAVIR and CLINIVIR Cooperative Study Groups. *JViralHepat*1997;4:199-208.
18. Manka P, Zeller A, Syn WK. Fibrosis in Chronic Liver Disease: An Update on Diagnostic and Treatment Modalities. *Drugs*2019;79(9):903-927. doi: 10.1007/s40265-019-01126-9.
19. Lee JH, Yoon JH, Lee CH, et al. Complete blood count reflects the degree of oesophageal varices and liver fibrosis in virus-related chronic liver disease patients. *JViralHepat*2009;16:444-452.
20. Kim BK, Han KH, Park JY, et al. External validation of P2/MS and comparison with other simple non-invasive indices for predicting liver fibrosis in HBV-infected patients. *DigDisSci*2010;55:2636-2643.
21. Kim BK, Han KH, Park JY, et al. Prospective validation of P2/MS noninvasive index using complete blood counts for detecting oesophageal varices in B-viral cirrhosis. *LiverInt*2010;30:860-866.
22. Mehta N, Heimbach J, Harnois DM, et al. Validation of a Risk Estimation of Tumor Recurrence After Transplant (RETREAT) Score for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Recurrence After Liver Transplant. *JMAOncol*2017;3(4):493.
23. O'Rourke JM, Sagar VM, Shah T, Shetty S. Carcinogenesis on the background of liver fibrosis: Implications for the management of hepatocellular cancer. *Worldjournalofgastroenterology*2018;24(39):4436-4447. doi:10.3748/wjg.v24.i39.4436
24. Lin CS, Chang CS, Yang SS, Yeh HZ, Lin CW. Retrospective evaluation of serum markers APRI and AST/ALT for assessing liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis B and C patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Intern Med*2008;47:569-575.